

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,962	03/31/2004	Naoko Pia Sanda	BUR920030069US1	BUR920030069US1 8703	
7:	590 12/01/2004		EXAMINER		
Jack P. Friedman			PERT, EVAN T		
Schmeiser, Olse	en & Watts		<u></u>		
Suite 201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
3 Lear Jet Lane			2829		
Latham, NY 12110			B		
			DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 Am				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/814,962	SANDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Evan Pert	2829				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	,					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M	larch 2004.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	•	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-12 and 22-27</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4 and 17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 2,5,14-16 and 18-21 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.					
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Burea	*	su iii iiis ivalional olage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0304</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/814,962

Art Unit: 2829

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-4 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Weger et al. (published March 30, 2003-April 3, 2003).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weger et al., as applied to claim 1 above.

Weger et al. does not teach a "computer program product" for *automating* the method elements of claim 1.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to create a computer program product for automating the method elements taught by Weger et al., corresponding to the method elements of claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,962 Page 3

Art Unit: 2829

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to create a computer program product in order to have a computer automate a manual activity, for efficiency [see MPEP 2144.04 III].

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 6-12 and 22-27 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 2, 5, 14-16 and 18-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The claimed invention can be distinguished from prior art, for example, by a pulse train that increases in amplitude with time.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The cited US patent documents relate to PICA methodology and apparatus.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Evan Pert whose telephone number is 571-272-1969.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30AM-3:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Tokar, can be reached on 571-272-1812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,962

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2829

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

ETP November 29, 2004

